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## OBJECTIVES

$\checkmark$ To analyze the brand preference of BISCUITS
$\checkmark$ To evaluate consumer attitude towards usage and utility towards the BISCUITS
$\checkmark$ To evaluate consumers perception about the important factors pertaining to BISCUITS purchase decision

## HYPOTHESES

$\checkmark$ Sales of different brands of BISCUIT are uniformly distributed i.e there is no significant difference in the sales of different BISCUITS brands.
$\checkmark$ There is no significant difference among the users of Cell Phone on the factors like age, gender etc towards their attitude about the usage of BISCUIT
$\checkmark$ Different factors which are important in the purchase decision of BISCUITS do not differ significantly.
$\checkmark$ Ranking of different cell phone brands do not differ significantly in their ranking

## Hypothesis 1

To test hypothesis 1 , chi square test was applied.

| Brand | Count |
| :--- | ---: |
| Britannia | 24 |
| Parle | 13 |
| Sunfeast | 27 |
| Priya Gold | 19 |
| Cremica | 7 |
| Anmol | 5 |
| Horlicks | 3 |

## CONCLUSION

Chi square value (calculated) $=41.71$ Critical Chi square value ( $0.05,7$ ) = 11.995 Chi square value (calculated) is greater than critical chi square value, hence hypothesis 1 is rejected and it can be concluded that sales of different brand of biscuits are not uniformly distributed

Hypothesis 2 (a): consumes of the different age group do not differ significantly on their attitude towards consumption of biscuits.

To test this hypothesis ANOVA was applied with following results

Anova: Single Factor

| SUMMMARY |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Groups | Count | Sum | Average | Variance |
| Under 18- |  |  |  |  |
| 25 | 59 | 3400 | 57.62712 | 47.65167 |
| $26-35$ | 27 | 1640 | 60.74074 | 50.73789 |
| $\mathbf{3 6 - 4 5}$ | 14 | 854 | 61 | 57.53846 |

$\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|}\hline \text { ANOVA } & & & & & & \\ \hline \begin{array}{l}\text { Source of } \\ \text { Variation }\end{array} & \text { SS } & \text { df } & \text { MS } & \text { F } & \text { P-value } & \text { F crit } \\ \hline \begin{array}{l}\text { Between } \\ \text { Groups }\end{array} & 248.6582 & & 2 & 124.3291 & 2.496371 & 0.087664\end{array}\right) 3.090187$

## CONCLUSION

Since F calculated is less than F critical at $95 \%$ significance level, hence Null hypothesis is accepted. So, it can be concluded that consumes of the different age group do not differ significantly on their attitude towards consumption of biscuits.

Hypothesis 2 (b): Male and female consumers do not differ significantly in their attitude towards consumption of biscuits

To test this hypothesis z test was applied with following results

|  | Mean | n | Z value | Z value <br> critical at <br> .05 and 125 <br> df | Result |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Male | 59.76 | 42 | 0.974 | 1.95 | Insignificant <br> Accept the null <br> hypothesis |
| Female | 58.34 | 58 |  |  |  |

## CONCLUSION

Since the calculated z value is less than z critical (two tailed) at . 05 significance level, hence null hypothesis is accepted and it can be said that there is no significant difference in the attitude of male and female consumers on their attitude towards consumption of biscuits

Hypothesis 2 (c): consumes of different occupation do not differ significantly on their attitude towards consumption of biscuits

To test this hypothesis ANOVA was applied with following results

## Anova: Single Factor

| SUMMARY |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Groups | Count | Sum | Average | Variance |
| Student | 51 | 2941 | 57.66667 | 47.54667 |
| Housewife | 16 | 950 | 59.375 | 47.85 |
| Service | 23 | 1400 | 60.86957 | 62.48221 |
| Business | 4 | 265 | 66.25 | 8.25 |
| Professiona |  |  |  |  |
| ls | 6 | 338 | 56.33333 | 31.86667 |


| ANOVA |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Source of Variation | SS | df | MS | F | P -value | F crit |
| Between Groups | 425.8646 | 4 | 106.4662 | 2.173351 | 0.077825 | 2.467494 |
| Within Groups | 4653.775 | 95 | 48.98711 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 5079.64 | 99 |  |  |  |  |

## CONCLUSION

Since F calculated is less than F critical at $95 \%$ significance level, hence Null hypothesis is accepted.
So, it can be concluded that consumes of the different occupation do not differ significantly on their attitude towards consumption of biscuits.

Hypothesis 3: Different factors which are important in the purchase decision of biscuits do not differ significantly

To test this hypothesis ANOVA was applied with following results

Anova: Single Factor

| SUMMARY |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Groups | Count | Sum | Average | Variance |
| Price | 100 | 379 | 3.79 | 1.056465 |
| Brand | 100 | 403 | 4.03 | 1.160707 |
| Taste | 100 | 481 | 4.81 | 0.276667 |
| Package <br> Design | 100 | 382 | 3.82 | 0.674343 |
| Ad \& promotion | 100 | 367 | 3.67 | 0.768788 |
| Quantity | 100 | 399 | 3.99 | 1.020101 |


| ANOVA |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Source of <br> Variation | SS | df |  |  |  |  |
| Between <br> Groups | 84.04833 |  | MS | F | P-value | F crit |
| Within <br> Groups | 490.75 | 5 | 16.80967 | 20.34629 | $9.08 \mathrm{E}-19$ | 2.229193 |
|  | 594 | 0.826178 |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 574.7983 | 599 |  |  |  |  |

## CONCLUSION

Since F calculated is greater than F critical at $95 \%$ significance level, hence Null hypothesis is rejected.
So, it can be concluded that different factors which are important in the purchase decision of biscuits for consumers differ significantly.

## Descriptive statistics analysis

1. Most popular brand: Sunfeast


## Ranking Performance

|  | Brand | Rank 1 | Rank 2 | Rank 3 | Rank 4 | Rank 5 | Rank 6 | Rank 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Britanni a | 24 | 13 | 33 | 17 | 6 | 6 | 4 |
| 2 | Parle | 33 | 27 | 21 | 6 | 4 | 5 | 4 |
| 3 | Sunfeast | 19 | 29 | 22 | 10 | 14 | 3 | 5 |
| 4 | Priya Gold | 21 | 16 | 13 | 23 | 14 | 5 | 11 |
| 5 | Cremica | 4 | 6 | 5 | 24 | 25 | 23 | 14 |
| 6 | Anmol | 0 | 9 | 2 | 14 | 23 | 28 | 25 |
| 7 | Horlicks | 0 | 1 | 5 | 7 | 15 | 31 | 38 |

## Which type of biscuit do you like to eat most?

| Type | Count |
| :--- | ---: |
| Cream biscuits | 33 |
| Salty biscuits | 18 |
| Both | 34 |
| Different Sweet |  |
| biscuits | 15 |
|  | Sales |



CREAM BISCUITS

SALTY

## Consumer Profiles

## 1. Age Profile:

| Under 18-25 | 59 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $26-35$ | 27 |
| $36-45$ | 14 |
| $46-55$ | 0 |



## 2. Gender

Male
Female
42
58

## 2. Marital Status

| Married | 36 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Unmarried | 63 | Column1 |

- MARRIED
- UNMARRIED


## 3. Monthly Family income (Rs.):

| Below 20,000 | 10 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $20,000-40,000$ | 37 |
| $40,001-60,000$ | 32 |
| Above 60,000 | 21 |



## 4. Educational qualification:

| Under- Graduate | 26 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Graduate | 42 |
| Post- Graduate | 32 |
| Others: | 0 |



- UNDER GRADUATE
GRADUATE

POSTGRADUATE

- OTHERS


## 5. Occupation:




## THANK YOU

